

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/879,804	06/12/2001	Chiaki Imaeda	9319S-000223	3726
27572	7590 12/14/2004		EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 828			CHUNG, DAVID Y	
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2871	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	for-
Advisory Action	09/879,804	IMAEDA, CHIAKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Y. Chung	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	S
THE REPLY FILED 29 November 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe	avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendme	application. A proper reply to nt which places the application	o a n in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or I	o)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing days. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WATOR.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period.	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date e later than SIX MONTHS from to AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH he date on which the petition und	he mailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Sec er 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropris	e MPEP ate extension
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	office later than three months afte 7 CFR 1.704(b).	r the mailing date of the final rejection	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furt	ther consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal t	y materially reducing or simpl	ifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 31 would b canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	e allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely filed amend	ment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: §		n considered but does NOT p	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SC	DLELY to issues which were no	ewly
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims v			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	3 :		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>15,18,19,59,62 and 71-74</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>31</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4,5,8,11-14,30,32,47,49,50,60-</u>	<u>-65,75 and 76</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2.6.9.16.	41,44-46,48,56 and 66-70.		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b)☐ disapprov	ed by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper I	Vo(s)	_
10. Other:		than)C_
		TARIFUR R. CHOWD)HIIDV -
•		PRIMARY EXAMIN	VER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amendment to claims 1, 5, 8, 30 and 47 adding a second electro-optical panel substrate raises a new issue requiring further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims as finally rejected were not allowable over the prior art.